TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
	Authors and Acknowledgments	i
	Executive Summary	iii
1	Purpose of the Report	1
2	Background of the City-State Partnership	3
	Senate Bill 795Findings from the Interim Evaluation	3 4
	The City-State Partnership Today and the Present Evaluation	5
	References	5
3	Evaluation Approach	7
	The Design	7 9
	Surveys Student Test Scores Site Visits Interviews	10 11 12 13
	Vendor Interviews Executive Interviews	13 13
	Focus Groups	13 14 14
	Strategies for Data Analysis	15
	Attachment: Additional Information on the Samples	17
4	Findings on Student Achievement	19
	Introduction	19 19 20
	Achievement in BCPSS at the System Level	21
	System-Level Achievement in BCPSS	21
	Performance of Special Education Students	29

Chapter		
	Achievement in Selected Groups of Schools	
	Achievement of Whole-School Reform Models Achievement of Reconstitution-Eligible Schools	
	Other Indicators	
	Attendance and Dropout Rates	
	High School Completion Rates	
	Attachment A: Detailed Data on Assessments	
	Attachment B: Data for AF and DI and Comparison Schools and RE and non-RE Schools	
	Attachment C: Procedure for Conducting Sign Tests	
5	Findings on Instructional Programs	
	Introduction	
	Citywide Curriculum Framework	
	Introduction Evaluation	
	Approach for Selecting Curricula	
	Appropriateness of Approach for Selecting Curricula	
	Reasonableness of Implementation Plan	
	Teachers' Evaluations of the Curriculum Training	
	Availability of Textbooks, Supplies, and Materials	
	Alignment Among Curriculum, Textbooks, and Assessments	
	Usefulness of Milestone Assessments	
	Effectiveness for Regular and Special Education Students.	
	Quality of Instruction	
	Student Promotion and Retention Policy	
	Introduction	
	The Guiding Principles	
	Interventions	
	SuperKids Camp 2001	
	Math Works	
	Summer School Program for Grades 1, 2, and 4	

Chapter		Page
	Experiences Under and Reactions to the Initial Promotion and	92
	Retention Policy	83
	Clarity	84
	Supports and Interventions	84
	Parental Notification and Involvement	86
	Recommendations	88
	Effectiveness of Whole-School Reform Models (Direct Instruction,	
	Achievement First, and New Schools Initiative Reforms)	90
	Introduction	90
	Description of Models and How They Were Selected	91
	Direct Instruction	91
	Achievement First	92
	New Schools Initiative	93
	Monitoring	95
	Comparison of Models	96
	Further Issues and Recommendations	100
	References	101
	Other Initiatives	101
	Ready-to-Learn Initiatives	102
	Introduction Instituting and Expanding Programs and Assessing	102
	Students	104
	Staff Development and Onsite Coaching for Teachers	104
	Books and Other Materials to Promote Literacy Skills	107
	and Reading Ability	107
	Schools with Upgraded Technology Support	107
	Efforts to Foster Parental Involvement	108
	Recommendations	108

Chapter		Page
	Class-Size Reduction	109
	Introduction	109
	Context	109
	BCPSS Plans and Activities	111
	Data Limitations Regarding Class Size	112
	Evaluation Approach	112
	Evaluation Results	113
	Recommendations	114
	References	114
	Middle School and High School Efforts	115
	Introduction	115
	Approach Taken by BCPSS for the Middle Grades	116
	Approach Taken by BCPSS for High Schools	117
	Evaluation Approach	119
	Findings	119
	Recommendation	122
S	Supports for Reconstitution-Eligible (RE) Schools	123
	Introduction	123
	BCPSS Plans for Reconstitution-Eligible Schools	124
	Future Plans for Reconstitution-Eligible Schools	126
	Relationships Between the BCPSS Approach for Addressing the	
	Needs of RE Schools and the Evaluation Approach	127
	Evaluation Results	127
	Monitoring	132
	Recommendations	132
	References	132
S	Special Education	133
	Introduction	133
	Monitoring Progress Toward Court-Approved	
	Measurable Outcomes	136
	Integration of Regular and Special Education at the	
	Management Level	137
	Recommendation	138

Chapter]
	Parental Involvement	
	SB 795 and Parental Involvement	
	Research Questions and Methodology	
	Evaluation Findings	
	Evaluation Findings	
	BCPSS-Developed Programs for Increasing Parental	
	Involvement	
	Parental Involvement in Children's Education	
	Awareness of Master Plan and School Standards	
	Parental Satisfaction With the Schools' Outreach Efforts	
	Parental Satisfaction With Schools	
	Barriers to Parental Involvement	
	Involvement of Businesses, Community Organizations, and	
	Service Agencies with Parents and Schools	
	Services to Homeless Students and Their Families	
	Relationship Between Parental Involvement and School	
	Performance	
	Issues Requiring Further Consideration and	
	Recommendations	
	Safety and Discipline	
	Introduction	
	Key Questions and Sources of Data	
	Systemwide Initiatives	
	Systemwide initiatives	
	Code of Conduct and Student Discipline Code	
	Drug and Violence Prevention and Intervention Programs.	
	School-Based Initiatives	
	Alternative I coming Contan (Calcal #400)	
	Alternative Learning Center (School #488)	
	Flex and Twilight Programs	
	Other Alternative Programs	
	School Safety Committees	
	Objective 6 Outcomes	
	School Climate	
	Infraction of the Discipline Code	
	Suspensions and Expulsions	
	Suspensions and Expuisions	
	Summary and Recommendations	
	Attachment A: New BCPSS Alternative Schools and Programs	

Chapter		Page
6	Findings on Human Resources	167
	Questions and Methods	168
	Key Questions	168
	Methods	169
	Findings on the Recruitment of Teachers and Principals	169
	Introduction and Background	170
	Points of Inquiry and Sources of Evidence	171
	Incentives	172
	Quality of New Staff	172
	Steps Taken to Reduce the Number of Provisional Teachers	173
	Numbers of Teachers Currently Teaching in Areas for	1/3
	Which They Lack Credentials or Feel	
	Inadequately Prepared	174
	Teacher and Principal Perceptions on the Quality of	
	New Staff	175
	Input of School Personnel in Recruitment	176
	Conclusions and Recommendations on Recruitment	177
	Findings on the Retention of Teachers and Principals, and New-Teacher	
	Mentoring	179
	5	
	Summary	179
	Introduction	179
	Changes in Retention Practices Since SB 795	180
	Teacher Compensation and Other Incentives	180
	Mentoring and Support of New Teachers	180
	Mentoring Program Characteristics	181
	What Conditions Contribute to the High Turnover Rate	182
	Teacher Certification	182
	Teachers' Self-Perceived Preparedness to Teach	183
	Reported Reasons for Staying or Leaving	183
	Teacher Focus Group Comments on Reasons to Stay	
	or Leave	184
	Principals' Reasons to Leave BCPSS	185

Chapter	
	Roles of Teachers and Principals in Establishing Retention
	Practices
	Perceived Effectiveness of Retention Practices
	Perceived Effectiveness of Compensation Changes
	Perceived Effectiveness of Mentoring
	Summary of Perceived Effectiveness of Retention and
	Mentoring Efforts
	Issues for Further Consideration and Recommendations for BCPSS .
	Findings in Professional Development for Teachers and Principals
	Summary
	Professional Development Supports: Evaluation Questions
	Changes Since Enactment of Senate Bill 795
	Extent to Which Professional Development Activities are
	School Based
	Range of Areas Addressed in Professional Development
	Extent to Which All Teachers Receive Supports in
	Working with Special Needs Students
	Access to Professional Development for Special
	Education Teachers
	Input of Teachers into Services Offered
	Discussion
	Participation in Professional Development
	Reactions to Professional Development
	Impact of Professional Development
	Discussion
	Issues Requiring Further Consideration
	Recommendations
	Findings in Performance-Based Evaluation for Teachers and Principals
	Summary
	Changes Since the Enactment of SB 795
	Role of The Master Plan
	BCPSS Accomplishments 1998–2001
	Summary of Changes for Teachers
	Summary of Changes for Principals

Chapter		Page
	Views of the New Performance-Based Evaluation Systems	211
	Principals' Performance-Based Evaluation System Teachers' Performance-Based Evaluation System	211 214
	Summary Remarks on Performance-Based Evaluation in BCPSS	222
	Conclusions	222 222 225
7	Findings on Organization and Management	227
	Overview of this Chapter	227 228
	PeopleProperty	228 234
	Section 2. Departmentwide Organization and Management	241
	Facilities Planning, Maintenance, and Operations	241
	BCPSS Actions Related to Facilities in the Past Several Years	243
	School Administrators' Opinions of Facilities Department Work	245
	Maintenance and Repair	246
	Investment in FacilitiesGrounds Keeping	247 249
	Waste Management	249
	Mail Distribution	250
	Custodial Services	250
	Organization and Staffing—Facilities Department	252
	Near-Term Plans—Facilities Department	252
	Conclusion—Facilities Department	253
	Recommendations—Facilities Department	254

Chapter		Page
	Food Services	254
	Financial Management	257
	Recent History	259
	BCPSS Finance Office Activities and Accomplishments	
	to Date	260
	Budget	262
	Grants	264
	Billing and Payments	264
		264
	Near-Term Plans—Finance Office	267
	Conclusion and Recommendations	267
	Procurement	268
	Procurement—Recent History	270
	Site Visit Results	271
	Formal Survey Results	271
	· ·	
	•	
	Conclusions—Procurement Department	277
	Information Technology	278
	Introduction	278
		280
	•	
	Recommendations	289
	Supports Provided by READ	290
	Background	290
	<u> </u>	
	Billing and Payments Benchmarking to Best Practice Processes and Procedures Near-Term Plans—Finance Office Conclusion and Recommendations. Procurement Procurement—Recent History Site Visit Results Formal Survey Results BCPSS Vendor Survey Conclusions—Vendor Survey Benchmarking to Best Practice Procurement Procedures Conclusions—Procurement Department Information Technology Introduction Approach Findings Issues Summary and Conclusions Recommendations	264 264 267 267 268 270 271 271 272 274 275 277 278 280 281 287 288 289

Chapter		Page
	Section 3. Role of The Master Plan	292
	Background	292
	Approach	293 294
	Responsiveness to SB 795	294
	The Master Plan as a Comprehensive Framework	294 295
	Section 4. The New Board of School Commissioners	298
	Background	298
	Role Played Since the Enactment of SB 795	299
	Steps Toward the Future	300
	References	300
8	Findings on Sufficiency of Funding	305
	Adequacy of Funding for BCPSS	305
	Study Questions and Evaluation Design	306
	Instruments/Analyses	306
	Legislative and Judicial History	307
	1989—The Sondheim Commission	307
	1994—The Hutchinson Commission	308
	1995—Bradford et al., v Maryland Board of Education et al	310
	1997—The Consent Decrees in the <i>Vaughn G</i> .	
	and Bradford Cases	311
	2000—Bradford et al., v Maryland Board	212
	of Education et al	313
	Recent Studies with Funding Implications	315
	Current and Recent Funding Levels	315
	Comparing Baltimore's Expenditures to	
	Other Districts'	315

er
How BCPSS Has Spent Additional Monies Provided Through the Partnership and Remedy Plan
City-State Partnership Funds
Is BCPSS' Funding Adequate and What Difference has the City-State Partnership Made?
Adequacy (or Sufficiency) of Public School Funding
Adequacy Versus Equity The Two Approaches: Successful Schools and Professional
Judgment
Summaries of the Maryland Adequacy Studies Benchmarking the Maryland Funding Adequacy Studies: Comparing
Maryland's Professional Judgment Personnel Resources to Other States
Comparing Maryland's Professional Judgment Personnel Resources to Baltimore City Public Schools
Putting Adequacy Studies in Their Larger Context: Evaluating Their
Assumptions
Evaluating the Successful Schools Approach Assumptions Evaluating the Professional Judgment Approach
Assumptions
What These Adequacy Studies Left Out
Overall Conclusions: Evaluating the Study Recommendations
References
Attachment A: Actual Staffing Numbers in Sample of Baltimore City Public Elementary Schools

Page

Chapter

9	Implications and Recommendations	345
	Implications	345
	Student Achievement	345 346 347 347
	Major Recommendations	348
	Involve Stakeholders Enhance Monitoring and Evaluation	348 348
	LIST OF APPENDICES	
Appendix		Page
A	Study Questions by Focus Area	A-1
В	Final Sampling Plans for the Teacher and Parent Surveys	B-1
C	Procedures for Weighting the Teacher and Parent Surveys	C-1
D	Survey Instruments	D-1
E	Sampling Design for the Site Visits	E-1
F	List of Individuals Interviewed	F-1
G	List of Documents Reviewed	G-1
Н	Tables of Survey Findings for the Teacher, Principal and Parent Surveys	H-1
I	Special Master's Report on Special Education Policy & Procedure Provisions of 1999/2000 Implementation Plan	I-1
J	PowerPoint Presentation by Gayle Amos Before the BCPSS New Board of School Commissioners on September 25, 2001	J-1

List of Tables

Table		Page
Chapter	3	
3-1	Samples and response rates for the staff and parent surveys	11
3-2	Vendor survey contacts	13
Chapter	4	
4-1	Goals for BCPSS student achievement on the MSPAP, CTBS/5, and MFT	21
4-2	Normal curve equivalents on the CTBS/5, by grade and subject: Projected performance versus Master Plan targets, 2002–04	24
4-3	Percentage of students attaining satisfactory performance on MSPAP, by grade and subject: Projected performance versus Master Plan targets: 2001–04	28
4-4	MFT cumulative pass rates, by grade and subject: Projected performance versus Master Plan targets, 2001–04	28
4-5	Change in number of BCPSS students attaining satisfactory performance in MSPAP: 2000 and projected for 2004	29
4-6	Number of schools in each whole-school reform cohort, by initiative and program year	31
4-7	Percentage of students in DI schools attaining satisfactory performance on the MSPAP, by grade, subject, and cohort: 1993–2000	32
4-8	Normal curve equivalents on CTBS/5 for DI schools, by grade, subject, and cohort: BCPSS 1998–2001	33
4-9	Sign test results: DI versus comparison schools	33
4-10	Percentage of students in AF schools attaining satisfactory performance on MSPAP, by grade, subject, and cohort: 1993–2000	34
4-11	Normal curve equivalents on the CTBS/5 for AF schools, by grade, subject, and cohort	34
4-12	Sign test results: AF versus comparison schools	34
4-13	Normal curve equivalents on the CTBS/5 for DI schools by grade, subject, and cohort: Projected performance versus Master Plan targets, 2002–04	35

Гable		Pag
4-14	Percentage of students in DI schools attaining satisfactory performance on the MSPAP, by grade, subject, and cohort: Projected performance versus Master Plan targets, 2001–04	35
4-15	Normal curve equivalents on the CTBS/5 for AF schools, by grade, subject, and cohort: BCPSS projected performance versus Master Plan targets, 2002–04	36
4-16	Percentage of students in AF schools attaining satisfactory performance on MSPAP, by grade, subject, and cohort: Projected performance versus Master Plan targets, 2001–04	36
4-17	Normal curve of equivalents on CTBS/5 for RE schools, by grade, subject, and cohort: 1998–2001	37
4-18	MSPAP pass rates in RE schools, by grade, subject, and cohort: 1993–2000	38
4-19	Sign test results: RE versus non-RE schools	39
4-20	Attendance rates for students in special and regular education programs, by grade: 1993–2000	39
4-21	Dropout rates for students in special and regular educational programs: 1998–2000	39
4-22	Number and percent of students awarded high school graduation certificates versus diplomas: 1998–2000	40
Chapter	5	
5-1	Percentage of teachers indicating they received training from BCPSS in implementing new curriculum.	59
5-2	Percentage of teachers indicating that they received training from BCPSS on the use of new materials	60
5-3	Percentage of teachers saying that the training provided useful information to a good or great extent	60
5-4	Percentage of teachers agreeing that various factors applied to the training	60

Γable	
5-5	Percentage of principals agreeing that their school has sufficient material resources
5-6	Percentage of teachers agreeing that they have sufficient textbooks for all of their students
5-7	Percentage of teachers agreeing that they have sufficient supplies and materials for all of their students
5-8	Percentage of principals evaluating the effect of quarterly milestone assessments with regard to reform efforts as good/great
5-9	Teachers' estimates of the average number of students they teach who receive special education services
5-10	Percentage of principals evaluating the supports to assist classroom teachers in including students with special needs in their classes as adequate to a good/great extent
5-11	Comparison of the percentage of principals and teachers reporting that various types of support are available
5-12	Percentage of principals indicating that various supports are available to assist classroom teachers regarding the inclusion of students with special needs in their classes
5-13	Percentage of teachers agreeing that the school system gave them the support they needed to include students with special needs in their classes
5-14	Percentage of teachers agreeing that the special education students benefited from being included in their classes
5-15	Percentage of teachers agreeing that the general education students benefited from the special education students being present in their classes
5-16	Percentage of teachers indicating they received training from BCPSS in teaching special populations
5-17	Average number of hours of professional development that teachers had regarding the inclusion of students with special needs in their classes in the last 3 years

Гable		Pa
5-18	Percentage of principals evaluating the preparation of teachers to use new textbooks and curriculum and their value in meeting students' needs as good/great	7
5-19	Percentage of teachers indicating that their professional development activities through BCPSS have much or very much enhanced their students' learning in reading, reading, and mathematics	7
5-20	Average number of hours principals spent in the classroom in an average week	7
5-21	Percentage of principals and teachers agreeing or strongly agreeing that teachers used various approaches to convey expectations	7
5-22	Percentage of principals agreeing or strongly agreeing that teachers use various approaches to convey expectations	7
5-23	Percentage of teachers agreeing that they have a clear understanding of what they are expected to achieve	7
5-24	Percentage of principals indicating that the new promotion and retention policy is helpful to a good/great extent	8
5-25	Percentage of teachers indicating that the new promotion and retention policy is effective to a good/great extent	8
5-26	Percentage of principals indicating the efficacy of summer school and extended day programs was good/great	8
5-27	Percentage of principals indicating that summer school and extended day programs helped students to a good/great extent	8
5-28	Percentage of teachers indicating that the summer school and extended day programs enhance student learning to a good/great extent	8
5-29	Percentage of parents indicating the efficacy of summer school and extended day programs	8
5-30	Percentage of schools offering parent workshops on helping students with homework or test-taking	8
5-31	Percentage of parents participating in parent workshops on helping students with homework or test-taking	8

Гable		Page
5-32	Percentage of principals reporting a lag between notification of parents and the start of summer school	88
5-33	Characteristics of schools in the New Schools Initiative	94
5-34	Percentage of principals evaluating various characteristics of reform efforts as good/great extent	98
5-35	Percentage of principals agreeing with various teacher and school characteristics	98
5-36	Percentage of principals agreeing with various statements indicating a positive impact of the reform effort on their school	99
5-37	Percentage of principals agreeing they were satisfied with various elements of the training their staff received for the reform program	99
5-38	Percentage of principals indicating that the reform efforts met the needs of different segments of their student population to a good/great extent	100
5-39	Number of schools operating prekindergarten and kindergarten programs during the 2000–2001 school year, by type of program	104
5-40	Wiring status of schools operating prekindergarten and kindergarten programs during the 2000–2001 school year, by type of program	108
5-41	Average number of students in teachers' classes this year	113
5-42	Percentage of principals agreeing that their schools have sufficient material resources	119
5-43	Percentage of teachers agreeing that their schools have sufficient textbooks and supplies for students	119
5-44	Percentage of principals evaluating various curriculum reform efforts as succeeding to a good/great extent	120
5-45	Percentage of principals agreeing with various statements about teacher competency	120
5-46	Percentage of teachers agreeing with various statements about teachers' expectations	121

Table		Page
5-47	Percentage of principals evaluating various promotion and retention reform efforts as successful to good/great extent	121
5-48	Tenure of BCPSS teachers and principals at RE and non-RE schools	128
5-49	Percentage of principals agreeing that their schools have staff that are competent and able to meet the needs of the students	128
5-50	Percentage of principals agreeing that mentoring for new teachers has improved to a good/great extent over the last 2 years at BCPSS	128
5-51	Percentage of principals agreeing that mentoring practices have been effective for attracting new school administrators or teachers into the BCPSS over the past 2 years	128
5-52	Percentage of principals evaluating the impact of various reform efforts as good/great extent	129
5-53	Percentage of principals agreeing that that their schools have the following support	129
5-54	Percentage of principals agreeing that their schools' involvement in the reform effort has had positive impacts	129
5-55	Percentage of principals indicating that the reform program meets the needs of different segments of the student population to a good/great extent	129
5-56	Percentage of principals agreeing that the training materials their staff received for the reform program were valuable	129
5-57	Percentage of principals at reconstitution-eligible schools evaluating the impact of various reform efforts as good/great extent	130
5-58	Percentage of teachers at reconstitution-eligible schools indicating that their professional development activities through BCPSS have enhanced their students' learning much/very much	131
5-59	Percentage of teachers at reconstitution-eligible schools indicating that they agree with the following statements	131
5-60	Percentage of teachers at reconstitution-eligible schools indicating that they received training from BCPSS in the use of new textbooks	131

Table		Page
5-61	Percentage of principals indicating that SETS reports are useful to a good/great degree	136
5-62	Percentage of principals indicating the extent to which they perceive an improvement in quality and accuracy of SETS reports over the last 4 years	137
5-63	Percentage of parents involved in various activities	142
5-64	Percentage of parents who volunteered for various activities during this school year	142
5-65	Percentage of parents aware of the standards for their children to move to the next grade	143
5-66	Percentage of parents satisfied with various outreach efforts	144
5-67	Percentage of parents satisfied with various aspects of their children's schools	145
5-68	Percentage of parents prevented from being involved in their children's education, by various factors	146
5-69	Percentage of principals and teachers reporting they received training on the new Code of Conduct	158
5-70	Percentage of principals and teachers reporting that students are familiar with the new Code of Conduct	159
5-71	Percentage of principals and teachers agreeing that their schools are safe, drug-free environments	159
5-72	Percentage of principals and teachers agreeing that students show respect to others in the school environment	160
5-73	Summary of serious incidents in BCPSS, by type of offense and years	160
5-74	Percentage of principals and teachers reporting large or very large reduction in selected infractions resulting from new code of conduct	161
5-75	Percent of principals and teachers reporting large or very large reductions in suspensions/expulsions and other disciplinary actions	161
5-76	Number of BCPSS students suspended and expelled: 1998–2001	162

Table		1
Chaptei	: 6	
6-1	Numbers of provisionally certified teachers in BCPSS compared to other selected Maryland school systems	
6-2	Percentage of principals who feel BCPSS has arranged for them to be adequately trained for their duties	
6-3	Percentage of teachers teaching in area(s) for which they are professionally certified	
6-4	Percentage of teachers who feel prepared in the area they are teaching, by school type and length of tenure	
6-5	Percentage of BCPSS certified teachers, by certificate type	
6-6	Percent of teachers reporting they area not certified in an area they teach	
6-7	Teachers' top reasons to stay or leave BCPSS	
6-8	Principals' top reasons to stay or leave BCPSS	
6-9	Percentage of principals and teachers indicating BCPSS professional development activities are tailored to their needs as school leaders or teaching professionals	
6-10	Percentage of BCPSS teachers participating in professional development activities	
6-11	Percentage of principals and teachers indicating availability of specific supports for inclusion of students with special needs in the classroom	
6-12	Percentage of special education teachers participating in professional development offerings through BCPSS	
6-13	Percentage of principals and teachers rating various dimensions of professional development as good or excellent	
6-14	Percentage of teachers rating aspects of professional development as very poor or poor, by instructional level	

Ta	able		Page
	6-15	Percentage of teachers and principals rating selected aspects of professional development as very poor or poor	203
	6-16	Percentage of principals rating various aspects of Master Plan elements as having a moderate/strong effect students' learning	203
	6-17	Percentage of teachers rating professional development activities as producing much/very much enhancement of their students' learning	204
	6-18	Percentage of elementary and high school teachers rating professional development activities as producing much/very much enhancement of students' learning	204
	6-19	BCPSS principals' overall survey responses regarding the district's Performance-Based Evaluation System for principals	212
	6-20	Percentage of BCPSS principals reporting that the performance evaluation system for principals has had a moderate or strong effect on students' learning	214
	6-21	BCPSS teachers' overall survey responses regarding the district's Performance-Based Evaluation System for teachers	215
	6-22	BCPSS principals' overall survey responses regarding the district's Performance-Based Evaluation System for teachers	217
Cl	hapter	7	
	7-1	BCPSS administrative positions and salaries	231
	7-2	Percentage of principals agreeing and disagreeing that their school has adequate support with regard to facilities and maintenance	246
	7-3	Food Services Division organization	255
	7-4	Percentage of principals agreeing with various statements about the BCPSS procurement process	271
	7-5	Vendor survey contacts	273
	7-6	Principals' responses regarding email	282

T	able		Page
	7-7	Principals' responses regarding their teachers' training in use of computers for instructional purposes	282
	7-8	Teachers' responses regarding their training in use of computers for instructional purposes	282
	7-9	Principals' responses regarding student access to computers	283
	7-10	Teachers' responses regarding student access to computers	283
	7-11	Principals' responses regarding usefulness of reports	283
	7-12	Principals' responses regarding quality of reports	284
	7-13	Principals' responses regarding IT Department responsiveness	284
	7-14	Teachers' perceptions of the efficacy of The Master Plan	296
	7-15	Percentage of parents indicating awareness of efforts to improve schools in The Master Plan	297
Chapter 8			
	8-1	BCPSS revenues from various sources (in \$ millions)	315
	8-2	BCPSS' proposed uses of City-State Remedy Plan funds (in \$ thousands)	318
	8-3	Comparison of number of personnel assigned to prototype elementary schools (at 500 pupils) in Maryland, South Carolina, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and Oregon	326
	8-4	Comparison of number of personnel assigned to prototype middle schools (at 800 pupils) in Maryland, South Carolina, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and Oregon	326
	8-5	Comparison of number of personnel assigned to prototype high schools (at 1,000 pupils) in Maryland, South Carolina, Wisconsin, Wyoming, and Oregon	326
	8-6	Comparison budgets for elementary schools	328
	8-7	Comparison budgets for middle schools	329
	8-8	Comparison budgets for high schools	330

Table		Page
8-9	Staffing patterns in sample of Baltimore City public elementary schools compared to MAP and A&M professional judgment models standardized to school of 500 students	333
	List of Exhibits	
Chapter	• 3	
3-1	Overview of the conceptual framework for the final evaluation of the BCPSS City-State Partnership	8
Chapter	• 5	
5-1	Implementation schedule for the core curriculum	55
5-2	Research questions	55
5-3	Chronology of BCPSS accomplishments regarding curriculum under The Master Plan.	57
5-4	BCPSS priority initiatives for 2001–02	58
5-5	Summer school 2000: Promotion and retention policy	78
5-6	Baltimore City Public School System Student Promotion and Retention Policy	79
5-7	Components of CLI	106
5-8	Recommendations of the Middle Level Task Force	116
5-9	The Blueprint for Baltimore's Neighborhood High Schools	118
5-10	Disengagement outcomes and the student achievement reporting requirement approved as part of the Vaughn G. case	134
5-11	BCPSS parent survey: Sample report by last disposition	139
5-12	Infractions by level of violation: BCPSS Student Discipline Code	152
5-13	BCPSS Code of Conduct for middle and senior high school students	152

List of Exhibits (continued)

Exhibit		Page
5-14	BCPSS Code of Conduct for elementary school students	152
5-15	Behavioral point system used at the Alternative Learning Center, School #488	156
Chapter	6	
6-1	Areas of focus	169
6-2	BCPSS new hire incentives	172
6-3	Mentoring program characteristics summary chart	181
Chapter	7	
7-1	The closing process	238
7-2	Summary of four areas of common findings from two financial management reviewers of BCPSS	262
7-3	Comparison of BCPSS IT practices with accepted best practices for school system IT departments	285
7-4	The IT Department's six functional units	286
7-5	Summary of IT Department Accomplishments	288
	List of Figures	
Chapter	4	
4-1	Percentage of BCPSS students and students statewide attaining satisfactory performance on the MSPAP, by grade and subject: 1994, 1997, and 2000	22
4-2	Differences in percentage of BCPSS students and students statewide attaining satisfactory performance on the MSPAP, by grade and subject: 1994, 1997, and 2000	23
4-3	CTBS/5 tests results for Baltimore City public schools, by grade	25

List of Figures (continued)

Figures		Page
4-4	Normal curve equivalents on the CTBS/5 statewide and in BCPSS: Selected years, 1997–2001	26
4-5	MFT pass rates for the state and BCPSS, by grade and subject: 1993, 1997, and 2000	27
4-6	Pass rates on MSPAP for special education students, by grade and subject: 1998–2000	30
4-7	Pass rates on MFT for special education students, by grade and subject: 1998–2000	30
Chapter	7	
7-1	Trends in enrollment and total instructional salaries (in 1983 dollars): 1995 to 2002	230
7-2	Trends in enrollment and administration salaries (in 1983 dollars): 1995 to 2002	230
7-3	Percentage of personnel who serve mostly administrative functions in BCPSS and other Maryland schools districts, by category: 2000–2001	231
7-4	Percentage of central office administrative personnel in BCPSS and other Maryland school districts, by level: 2000–2001	232
7-5	Trends in enrollment and number of personnel	233
7-6	Trends in enrollment and total salaries (in 1983 dollars): 1995–2002	233
7-7	Percentage of teachers in BCPSS and the other Maryland school districts, by years of experience: 2000	233
7-8	Total enrollment in BCPSS schools, pre-K–12: September 1990–September 2000	236

List of Figures (continued)

Figures		Page
7-9	First grade enrollment in Baltimore schools and births 7 years earlier, for actual and projected years: 1991 to 2007	236
7-10	Actual versus projected enrollment data for grades K-12: 1998-2000	237
7-11	BCPSS actual and projected enrollments in grades K-5: 1990-2010	238
7-12	Trends in enrollment and state-rated capacity: 1995–2004	239
7-13	Annual average capital outlay as a percentage of current and total expenditures for the nation and particular groups of urban school districts: 1992–98	248
7-14	Annual average capital outlay as a percentage of current and total expenditures for the nation and particular groups of urban school districts: 1992–98	248
7-15	Annual average operation and maintenance of plant as a percentage of current expenditures for five large Maryland school districts: 1992–98	249
Chapter	8	
8-1	Local share of current revenues provided by BCPSS and the rest of state: Various years	315
8-2	Per-pupil current expenditure in 19 large urban school districts: 2000–2001	316
8-3	Per-pupil current expenditure in 6 large urban Northeast-Midwest school districts: 2000–2001	316
8-4	Per-pupil total current expenditures for BCPSS and two groups of large urban school districts: Various years	317